

CONTENT PEER-REVIEW FEEDBACK, AND RECOMMENDATION FORM FOR RESOLUTION OF PLANNERS/ PRESENTERS/ OR AUTHORS' CONFLICT OF INTEREST

SPEAKER SESSION DATE Nicklaus Children's Hospital is a CME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Childre Hospital Policy related to Standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The must have implemented a mechanism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest the educational activity being delivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an object reviewer) is one of the methods used to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker abdisclosed either a COI or a relevant financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-review ho has no COIs or relevant financial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-recontent of the learning activity whose title is listed above and below is my feedback and recommendation as a result of my review: Content is Unbiased and Evidence-Based – No Content Change Needed Content Shows Bias in the Following Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Co Slide Number(s)) Recommendations: Communicated to Speaker Communicated to CME Committee Chair and/or Activity Chair/ Director	IME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for ICME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Children's its for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The provider anism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest prior to elivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an objective sed to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker above has not financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-reviewer incial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-review of the ose title is listed above and below is my feedback and review: Ince-Based – No Content Change Needed owing Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Content or	PEER-REVIEWER		
Nicklaus Children's Hospital is a CME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Childre Hospital Policy related to Standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The must have implemented a mechanism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest the educational activity being delivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an object reviewer) is one of the methods used to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker about disclosed either a COI or a relevant financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-rewiew has no COIs or relevant financial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-recontent of the learning activity whose title is listed above and below is my feedback and recommendation as a result of my review: Content is Unbiased and Evidence-Based — No Content Change Needed Content Shows Bias in the Following Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Co Slide Number(s))	IME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for ICME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Children's list for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The provider anism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest prior to relivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an objective sed to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker above has not financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-reviewer recial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-review of the ose title is listed above and below is my feedback and review: Ince-Based – No Content Change Needed owing Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Content or Interest (Chair and/or Activity Chair/ Director).	TOPIC TITLE		
Nicklaus Children's Hospital is a CME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Childre Hospital Policy related to Standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The must have implemented a mechanism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest the educational activity being delivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an object reviewer) is one of the methods used to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker about disclosed either a COI or a relevant financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-rewho has no COIs or relevant financial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-recontent of the learning activity whose title is listed above and below is my feedback and recommendation as a result of my review: Content is Unbiased and Evidence-Based – No Content Change Needed Content Shows Bias in the Following Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Co Slide Number(s)) Recommendations: Communicated to Speaker	IME provider accredited by the Accreditation Council for ICME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Children's list for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The provider anism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest prior to relivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an objective sed to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker above has not financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-reviewer recial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-review of the ose title is listed above and below is my feedback and review: Ince-Based – No Content Change Needed owing Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Content or Interest (Chair and/or Activity Chair/ Director).	SPEAKER		
Continuing Medical Education (ACCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Childre Hospital Policy related to Standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The must have implemented a mechanism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest the educational activity being delivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an object reviewer) is one of the methods used to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker about disclosed either a COI or a relevant financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-review has no COIs or relevant financial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-recontent of the learning activity whose title is listed above and below is my feedback and recommendation as a result of my review: Content is Unbiased and Evidence-Based – No Content Change Needed Content Shows Bias in the Following Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Co Slide Number(s))	CCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nicklaus Children's dis for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 states that "The provider anism to identify and resolve all conflicts of interest prior to delivered to learners". Content peer-review (by an objective sed to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The speaker above has not financial relationship. As an appointed unbiased peer-reviewer icial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-review of the ose title is listed above and below is my feedback and review: Ince-Based – No Content Change Needed owing Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Content or interest (COI). The speaker above has not financial relationships to disclose, I have performed a peer-review of the ose title is listed above and below is my feedback and review: Ince-Based – No Content Change Needed owing Area(s): (Please Specify Below by referring to Content or interest (COI).	SESSION DATE	REVIEW DATE	
☐ Communicated to Speaker	· 	Continuing Medical Education Hospital Policy related to Star must have implemented a r the educational activity bein reviewer) is one of the method disclosed either a COI or a re who has no COIs or relevant content of the learning activity recommendation as a result of Content is Unbiased and I Content Shows Bias in the	(ACCME). In accordance with ACCME and Nickellands for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 standards for Commercial Support, Standard 2.3 standards for Content peer-reviewed and sused to resolve conflict of interest (COI). The elevant financial relationship. As an appointed unbifinancial relationships to disclose, I have perform whose title is listed above and below is my feed from the review: Evidence-Based – No Content Change Needed	klaus Children's tes that "The provider of interest prior to (by an objective e speaker above has biased peer-reviewer ed a peer-review of the lback and
	· 	Recommendations:		
	·			
	Signature Date			
Reviewer Name Signature D			<u> </u>	Date